



Article

Intuitionistic Fuzzy Almost π Generalized Semi Open Mapping In Gradation Ideal Topological Spaces

Riyam Thamir M., Walaa Hassen M., and Naji Yasser M.

Department of Mathematics, College of Education for Pure Sciences, University of Thi-Qar, Iraq

Received: April 11, 2025
Revised: April 29, 2025
Accepted: July 1, 2025
Published: July 7, 2025



Copyright: © 2025 by the authors. Submitted for open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

Abstract: The purpose of this paper is to introduce and study the concepts of intuitionistic fuzzy ideal almost π generalized semi open mappings and intuitionistic fuzzy ideal almost π generalized semi closed mappings in intuitionistic fuzzy ideal space and we investigate some of its properties. Also we provide the relations between intuitionistic fuzzy ideal almost π generalized semi closed mappings and other intuitionistic fuzzy ideal closed mappings .

Keywords : intuitionistic fuzzy topology, intuitionistic fuzzy ideal topology , intuitionistic fuzzy ideal π generalized Semi closed set , intuitionistic fuzzy ideal almost π generalized semi closed mappings ,Intuitionistic fuzzy ideal almost π generalized semi open mappings and intuitionistic fuzzy ideal $\pi T_{1/2}$ (IFI $\pi T_{1/2}$) space and intuitionistic fuzzy ideal $\pi g_{1/2}$ (IFI $\pi g_{1/2}$) space .

Chapter One/Methodological framework

First: Research problem:

The concept of fuzzy set was firstly introduced by L.Zadeh in 1965[19] as extension of the Classical notion of set. After three years C.L.Chang in 1968 [3] , axiomatized a collection τ Of fuzzy subset of non-empty set X .Atanassov introduced the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy sets 1986 .T.R,Hamlett[6] investigated further properties of ideal topological space and proved some results about them .The notion of intuitionistic fuzzy ideal which is considered as a generalization of fuzzy ideals introduced and studied by A.A.Salman and S.A.Alblawi in 2012 [7] And in 1997 D.Coker [4] gave the basic definition of intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces .Continuing the work done in the [13], [14] , [15] , [15], [16], [17] ,we define the notion of Intuitionistic fuzzy almost π generalized semi closed mappings and intuitionistic fuzzy almost π generalized semi open mappings .We discuss characterization of intuitionistic

fuzzy ideal almost π generalized semi closed mappings and open mappings .We also established their properties and relationship with other classes of early defined forms of intuitionistic fuzzy ideal closed mappings .

Preliminaries

Definition 2.1[1] Let X be a non-empty set .An intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS in short) A is a subset

Of X characterized by membership function $\mu_A: X \rightarrow [0,1]$ and a non- membership function

$\nu_A: X \rightarrow [0,1]$, that they associate with each point $x \in X$ it is membership grade $\mu_A(x)$ and its

Non-membership grade $\nu_A(x)$ such that $0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x) \leq 1$, that is

$$A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle, x \in X \}.$$

Definition 2.2 [1] Let A and B be IFSs of from $A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle, x \in X \}$ and

$$B = \{ \langle x, \mu_B(x), \nu_B(x) \rangle, x \in X \}$$
 then

1) $A \subseteq B$ If and only if $\mu_A(x) \leq \mu_B(x)$ and $\nu_A(x) \geq \nu_B(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

2) $A = B$ if and only if $A \subseteq B$ and $B \subseteq A$.

$$3) A^c = \{ \langle x, \nu_A(x), \mu_A(x) \rangle, x \in X \}.$$

$$4) A \cap B = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x) \cap \mu_B(x), \nu_A(x) \cup \nu_B(x) \rangle, x \in X \}.$$

$$5) A \cup B = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x) \cup \mu_B(x), \nu_A(x) \cap \nu_B(x) \rangle, x \in X \}.$$

Definition 2.3[3] An intuitionistic fuzzy topology (IFT in short) on X is a family τ of IFSs in X

Satisfying the following axioms :

$$1) 0, 1 \in \tau.$$

$$2) M_1 \cap M_2 \in \tau \text{ for any } M_1, M_2 \in \tau.$$

$$3) \cup M_i \in \tau \text{ for any family } \{M_i / i \in J\}.$$

the pair (X, τ) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space (IFTS in short).

Definition 2.4[3] Let (X, τ) be an IFTS and $A = \langle x, \mu_A, \nu_A \rangle$ be an IFS in X Then the

intuitionistic fuzzy interior and intuitionistic fuzzy closure are defined by

$$\text{int}(A) = \cup \{ G / G \text{ is an IFOS in } X \text{ and } G \subseteq A \},$$

$$\text{cl}(A) = \cap \{ K / K \text{ is an IFCS in } X \text{ and } A \subseteq K \}.$$

Definition 2.5[10] A subset of A of a space (X, τ) is called :

$$1) \text{ regular open if } A = \text{int}(\text{cl}(A)).$$

$$2) \pi \text{ open if } A \text{ is the union of regular open sets .}$$

Defuiniton2.6[10] An IFS $A = \langle x, \mu_A, \nu_A \rangle$ in an IFTS (X, τ) is said to be an

- 1) intuitionistic fuzzy semi open set (IFSOS in short) if $A \subseteq cl(int(A))$,
- 2) intuitionistic fuzzy α – open set (if α OS in short) if $A \subseteq int(cl(int(A)))$,
- 3) intuitionistic fuzzy regular open set (IFROS in short) if $A = int(cl(A))$,
- 4) intuitionistic fuzzy pre open set (IFPOSon short) if $A \subseteq int(cl(A))$,
- 5) intuitionistic fuzzy semi – pre open set (IFSPOS) if there exists $B \in IFPO(X)$ such that

$$B \subseteq A \subseteq Cl(B).$$

Definition 2.7[10] An IFS $A = \langle x, \mu_A, \nu_A \rangle$ in an IFTS (X, τ) is said to be an

- 1) intuitionistic fuzzy semi closed set (IFScS in short) if $int(cl(A)) \subseteq A$,
- 2) intuitionistic fuzzy α – closed set (if α cS in short) if $int(cl(int(A))) \subseteq A$,
- 3) intuitionistic fuzzy regular closed set (IFRcS in short) if $A = cl(int(A))$,
- 4) intuitionistic fuzzy pre open set (IFPOSon short) if $cl(int(A)) \subseteq A$,

Defintion 2.8[10] An ifs A in an IFTS (X, τ) is said to be intuitionistic fuzzy π generalized

semi closed set (IF π GSCS in short) if $scl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is an IF π OS in

(X, τ) . An IFS A is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy π generalized semi open set (IF π GSOS in short) in X if the complement A^c is an IF π GSCS in X .

Definition 2.11[7] Let f be a mapping from an IFTS (X, T) into an IFTS (Y, σ) . Then f is said

to be intuitionistic fuzzy continuous (IFcontinuous) if $f^{-1}(B) \in IFO(X)$ for every $B \in \sigma$.

Defintion 2.12 [12] Let f be a mapping from an IFTS (X, τ) into an IFTS (Y, σ) . Then f is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy generalized continuous (IFG continuous) if $f^{-1}(B) \in IFGCS(X)$ for every IFCS B in Y .

Definition 2.13 [14] Let f be a mapping from an LFTS (X, τ) into an IFTS (Y, σ) . Then f is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy almost π generalized semi continuous mappings

$\left(\begin{matrix} IFA \pi GA \\ continuous \end{matrix} \right)$ if $f^{-1}(B) \in IFGCS(X)$ for every IFFCs B in Y .

Defintion 2.14 [15] Let f be a mapping from an IFTS (X, τ) into an IFTS (Y, σ) . Then f is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy α generalized continuous mappings (IF α G continuous) if $f^{-1}(B)$

$\in IFT\alpha GCS(X)$ for every IFRCS B in Y .

Defintion 2.15 [15] Let f be a mapping from an IFTS (X, τ) into an IFTS (Y, σ) . Then f is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy α generalized semi closed mappings (IFGSCM) if $f^{-1}(B)$

$\in IFGCS(X)$ for every IFRCS B in Y .

Defintion 2.16 Let f be a mapping from an IFTS (X, τ) into an IFTS (Y, σ) . Then f is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy almost closed mappings (IFACM) if $f^{-1}(B) \in IFC(Y)$ for every IFRCS B in X .

Defintion 2.17 Let f be a mapping from an IFTS (X, τ) into an IFTS (Y, σ) . Then f is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy almost α generalized closed mappings (IFA α GCM)

if $f^{-1}(B) \in IFA\alpha GC(Y)$ for every IFRCS B in Y .

Defintion 2.18 [5] The IFS $c(\alpha, \beta)$

$= \langle x, c_\alpha, c_{1-\beta} \rangle$ where $\alpha \in (0, 1], \beta \in [0, 1)$ and $\alpha + \beta \leq 1$ is

called an intuitionistic fuzzy point (IFP) in X .

Note that an IFP $c(\alpha, \beta)$ is said to belong to an IFS A

$= \langle x, \mu_A, \nu_A \rangle$ of X denoted by $c(\alpha, \beta)$

$\in A$ if $\alpha \leq \mu_A$ and $\beta \geq \nu_A$.

Defintion 2.18 [5] Let $c(\alpha, \beta)$ be an IFP of an IFTS (X, τ) . An IFS A of X is called an intuitionistic fuzzy neighborhood (IFN) of $c(\alpha, \beta)$ if there exists an IFOS B in X such that

$$c(\alpha, \beta) \in B \subseteq A.$$

Defintion 2.19 [7] An IFS A is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy dense (IFS for short)

in another IFS B in an IFTS (X, τ) , if $cl(A) = B$.

Defintion 2.20 [11] An IFTS (X, τ) is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy $\pi T_{1/2}$ (IF $\pi T_{1/2}$ in short) space if every IF π GSCS in X is an IFCS in X .

Defintion 2.21 [11] An IFTS (X, τ) is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy $\pi T_{1/2}$ (IF $\pi g T_{1/2}$ in short) space if every IF π GSCS in X is an IFGCS in X .

Result 2.22. [9] (1) every IF π OS is an IFOS in (X, τ) .

(2) every IF π CS is an IFCS in (X, τ) .

Defintion 2.23 [12] A non empty collection of fuzzy set I of set X satisfying the condition

- 1) if $A \in I$ and $B \leq A$, then $B \in I$ (heredity),
 2) if $A \in I$ and $B \in I$ then $A \vee B \in I$ (finite additivity) is called a fuzzy ideal on X .

The triplex (X, τ, I) denotes a fuzzy ideal topological space with a fuzz ideal I and fuzzy topology τ .

3 – Intuotonestic Fuzzy Ideal almost π Generalized Semi Open Mappings in Gradation Topologicals Space .

In this section we introduce intuitionistic fuzzy ideal almost π generalized semi open mappings, intuitionistic fuzzy ideal almost π generalized semi closed mappings and studied some of its properties .

Defintion 3.1 A mapping $F: X \rightarrow Y$ is called an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal almost π generalized semiopen mappings (IFIA π GSOM for short)if $f(A)$ is an IFI π GSOS in Y for each IFIROS A in X .

Definition 3.2 A mapping $f: (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I)$ is called an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal almost π generalized semi closed mappings (IFIA π GSCM for short)if $f(B)$ is an IFI π GSCS in (Y, σ, I) for each IFIRCS B in (X, τ, I) .

Defintion 3.3 Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $Y = \{u, v\}$ and $G_1 = \langle x, (0.2_a, 0, 2_b), (0.6_a, 0.7_b) \rangle$, $G_2 = \langle y, (0.4_u, 0, 2_v), (0.6_u, 0.7_v) \rangle$. Then, $\tau = \{0_\sim, G_1, 1_\sim\}$ and $\sigma = \{0_\sim, G_2, 1_\sim\}$ are IFITs on X and Y

respectively . Define a mapping $f: (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I)$ by $f(a) = u$ and $f(b) = v$. Then f is

an IFIA π GSCM .

Theorem 3.4 (1) Every IFICM is an IFIA π GACM but not conversely .

(2) Every IFIA α GCM is an IFIA π GSCM but not conversely .

(3) Every IFICM is an IFIA π GSCM but not conversely .

(4) Every IFIA α GCM is an IFIA π GSCM but not conversely .

proof: (1) Assume that $f: (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I)$ is an IFICM. Let A be an IFIFCS in X . This implies

sA is an IFICS in X . Since f is an IFICM, $f(A)$ is an IFICS in Y . Every IFICS is an IFI π GSCS in Y

Hence f is an IFIA π GSCM .

proof: (2) Let $f: (X, \tau, I)$

$\rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I)$ is an $IFIA\alpha GCM$. Let A is an $IFIRCS$ in X . This implies A is

an $IFICS$ in X . Then by hypothesis $f(A)$ is an $IFIA\alpha GCS$ in Y . Since every $IFIA\alpha GCS$ is an

and every $IFIGSCS$ is an $IFI\pi GSCS$, $f(A)$ is an $IFI\pi GSCS$ in Y . Hence f is an $IFIA\pi GSCM$.

proof: (3) Let $f: (X, \tau, I)$

$\rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I)$ is an $IFIACM$. Let A be an $IFIRCS$ in X . Since f is $IFIACM$

$f(A)$ is an $IFICS$ in Y . Since every $IFICS$ is an $IFI\pi GSCS$, $f(A)$ is an $IFI\pi GSCS$ in Y . Hence

f is an $IFIA\pi GSCM$.

proof: (4) Let $f: (X, \tau, I)$

$\rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I)$ is an $IFIA\alpha GCM$. Let A be an $IFIRCS$ in X . Since f is

$IFIACM$, Then by hypothesis $f(A)$ is an $IFIA\alpha GCS$ in Y . Since every $IFIGCS$ is an $IFIGSCS$

and every $IFIGSCS$ is an $IFI\pi GCS$, $f(A)$ is an $IFI\pi GSCS$ in Y . Hence f is an $IFIA\pi GSCM$.

Example(1) Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $Y = \{u, v\}$ and $G_1 = \{x, (0.4_a, 0.2_b), (0.5_a, 0.4_b)\}$, G_2

=

$\{y, (0.3_u, 0.2_v), (0.6_u, 0.7_v)\}$. Then $\tau = \{0_\sim, G_1, 1_\sim\}$ and σ

= $\{0_\sim, G_2, 1_\sim\}$ are $IFITs$ on X and

Y . Define a mapping $f: (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I)$ by $f(a) = u$ and $f(b)$

= v . Then, f is an $IFIA\pi GS$

CM . But f is not an $IFICM$ since G_1^c

= $\{x, (0, 0.5_a, 0.4_b), (0.4_a, 0.2_b)\}$, is an $IFICS$ in X but f

$(G_1^c) \{y, (0, 0.5_u, 0.4_v), (0.4_u, 0.2_v)\}$ is not an $IFICS$ in Y .

Example(2) Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $Y = \{u, v\}$ and $G_1 = \{x, (0.3_a, 0.4_b), (0.4_a, 0.5_b)\}$, G_2

=

$\{y, (0.7_u, 0.6_v), (0.3_u, 0.4_v)\}$. Then $\tau = \{0_\sim, G_1, 1_\sim\}$ and σ

= $\{0_\sim, G_2, 1_\sim\}$ are $IFITs$ on X and

Y . Define a mapping $f: (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I)$ by $f(a) = u$ and $f(b)$

= v . Then, f is an $IFIA\pi GS$

CM . But f is not an $IFIA\alpha GCM$ since G_1^c

= $\{x, (0.4_a, 0.5_b), (0.3_a, 0.4_b)\}$, is an $IFICS$ in X but f

$(G_1^c) = \{y, (0.4_u, 0.2_v), (0.3_u, 0.4_v)\}$ is not an $IFIA\alpha GCS$ in Y .

Example(3) In example (1), f is an $IFIA\pi GSCM$ but f is not an $IFIA\alpha GCM$ since $G_1^c =$

$\{x, (0.4_a, 0.5_b), (0.3_a, 0.4_b)\}$, is an $IFIRCS$ in X but $f(G_1^c)$

= $\{y, (0.5_u, 0.4_v), (0.4_u, 0.2_v)\}$ is not

an $IFICS$ in Y .

Example(4) In example (2), f is an $IFIA\pi GSCM$. But f not an $IFIA\delta GCM$ since $G_1^c =$

$\{x, (0.4_a, 0.5_b), (0.3_a, 0.4_b)\}$ is an IFIRCS in Y but $f(G_1^c)$
 $= \{y, (0.4_u, 0.2_v), (0.3_u, 0.4_v)\}$ is not

an IFI α GCS in Y .

Theorem 3.5 A bijective mapping $f: X$
 $\rightarrow Y$ is an IFI π GS closed mapping if and only if
the image of each IFIROS in X is an IFI π GSOS in Y .

Proof Necessity: Let A be an IFIROS in x . This implies A^c is IFIRCS in X . Since f is an

IFI π GS closed mapping, $f(A^c)$ is an IFI π GSCS in Y . Since $f(A^c)$
 $= (f(A))^c$, $f(A)$ is an

IFI π GSOS in Y .

Sufficiency

: Let A be an IFIFCS in X . This implies A^c is an IFIROS in X . By hypothesis

, $f(A^c)$ is an IFI π GSOS in Y . Since $f(A^c)$
 $= (f(A))^c$, $f(A)$ is an IFI π GSCS in Y . Hence f is an

IFI π GS closed mapping.

Theorem 3.6 Let $f: (X, \tau, I)$
 $\rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I)$ be an IFI π GS closed mapping. Then f is an IFIA
closed mapping if Y is an IFIT $_{1\setminus 2}$ space.

Proof : Let A be an IFIRCS in X . Then $f(A)$ is an IFI π GSCS in Y , by hypothesis. Since Y is
an IFIT $_{1\setminus 2}$ space, $f(A)$ is an IFICS in Y . Hence f is an IFIA closed mapping.

Theorem 3.7 Let $f: X$
 $\rightarrow Y$ be a bijective mapping. Then the following are equivalent.

1) f is an IFI π GSOM

2) f is an IFI π GSCM.

proof : Straightforward.

Theorem 3.8 Let : X
 $\rightarrow Y$ be mapping where Y is an IFI π T $_{1\setminus 2}$ space. Then the following
are equivalent:

(1) f is an IFI π GSCM

(2) $scl(f(A)) \subseteq f(cl(A))$ for every IFISPOS A in X

(3) $scl(f(A)) \subseteq f(cl(A))$ for every IFISOS A in X .

proof (1)

\Rightarrow (2) Let A be an IFISPOS in X . Then $cl(A)$ is an IFIRCS in X . By hypothesis,

$f(cl(A))$ is an IFI π GSCS in Y . Since Y is an IFI π T $_{1\setminus 2}$ space. This implies $scl(f(A)) =$

$f(cl(A))$. Now $scl(f(A)) \subseteq scl(f(cl(A))) = f(cl(A))$. Thus $scl(f(A)) \subseteq f(cl(A))$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Since every IFISOS is an IFISPOS, the proof directly follows.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let A be an IFIRCS in X . Then $A = cl(int(A))$. Therefore A is an IFISOS in X . By

hypothesis, $scl(f(A)) \subseteq f(cl(A)) = f(A) \subseteq scl(f(A))$. Hence $f(A)$ is an IFISCS and

hence is an $FI\pi GSCS$ in Y . Thus f is an $FI\pi GSCM$.

Theorem 3.9 Let : X

$\rightarrow Y$ be mapping where Y is an $FI\pi T_{1\setminus 2}$ space. Then the following

are equivalent:

(1) f is an $FI\pi GSCM$

(2) $f(A) \subseteq sint \subseteq \left(f \left(int(cl(A)) \right) \right)$ for every $IFIPOS$ A in X .

proof (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let A be an $IFIPOS$ in X . Then $A \subseteq int(cl(A))$. Since $int(cl(A))$ is an

$FIROS$ in X , by hypothesis, $f(int(cl(A)))$ is an $FI\pi GSOS$ in Y . Since Y is an $FI\pi T_{1\setminus 2}$ space,

$f(int(cl(A)))$ is an $IFISOS$ in Y . Therefore $f(A) \subseteq f(int(cl(A))) \subseteq sint \left(f(int(cl(A))) \right)$

(2)

\Rightarrow (1) Let A be an $FIROS$ in X . Then A is an $IFIPOS$ in X . By hypothesis, $f(A) \subseteq sint(f$

$(cl(A))) = sint(f(A))$

$\subseteq f(A)$. This implies $f(A)$ is an $IFISOS$ in Y and hence is an $FI\pi G$

SOS in Y . Therefore f is an $FI\pi GSCM$, by theorem 3.6.

Theorem 3.10 Let : (X, τ, I)

$\rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I)$ be mapping from an $IFITS$ X into an $IFITS$ Y .

Then the following conditions are equivalent if Y is an $FI\pi T_{1\setminus 2}$ space.

(1) f is an $FI\pi GSCM$

(2) f is an $FI\pi GSOM$

(3) $f(int(A)) \subseteq int \left(cl \left(int(f(A)) \right) \right)$ for every $FIROS$ A in X .

proof (1) \Rightarrow (2) It is obviously true.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Let A be any $FIROS$ in X . This implies A is an $FIPOS$ in X . Then $int(A)$ is an $FIPOS$

in X . Then $f(\text{int}(A))$ is an $FI\pi\text{GSOS}$ in Y . Since Y is an $FI\pi T_{1\setminus 2}$ space, $f(\text{int}(A))$ is an $FI\text{IOS}$ in

$$Y. \text{ Therefore } f(\text{int}(A)) = \text{int}(f(\text{int}(A))) \subseteq \text{int}\left(\text{cl}\left(\text{int}(f(A))\right)\right). \quad (3)$$

$$\Rightarrow (4) \text{ Let } A \text{ be an}$$

$FI\text{RCS}$ in X . Then its complement A^c is an $FI\text{IROS}$ in X . By hypothesis $f(\text{int}(A^c)) \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}$

$$(\text{int}(f(A^c))))). \text{ This implies } f(A^c) \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(f(A^c)))). \text{ Hence } f(A^c) \text{ is an } FI\alpha\text{OS} \text{ in } Y.$$

Since every $FI\alpha\text{OS}$ is an $FI\pi\text{GSOS}$, $f(A^c)$ is an $FI\pi\text{GSOS}$ in Y . Therefore $f(A)$ is an $FI\pi\text{GSCS}$ in Y . Hence f is an $FI\pi\text{GSCM}$.

Theorem 3.11 Let $f : (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I)$ be mapping from an $FI\text{ITS}$ X into an $FI\text{ITS}$ Y .

Then the following conditions are equivalent if Y is an $FI\pi T_{1\setminus 2}$ space.

(1) f is an $FI\pi\text{GSCM}$

(2) $\text{scl}(f(A)) \subseteq f(\text{cl}(A))$ for every $FI\text{SCS}$ in X .

proof (1) \Rightarrow (2) Assume that A is an $FI\text{RCS}$ in X . By Definition, $\text{int}(\text{cl}(A)) \subseteq A$.

This implies $\text{cl}(A)$ is an $FI\text{RCS}$ in X . By hypothesis $f(\text{cl}(A))$ is an $FI\pi\text{GSCS}$ in Y and

$$\text{hence is an } FI\pi\text{CS} \text{ in } Y, \text{ since } Y \text{ is an } FI\pi T_{1\setminus 2} \text{ space. This implies } \text{scl}(f(\text{cl}(A))) = f(\text{cl}(A))$$

$$= f(\text{cl}(A)). \text{ Now } \text{scl}(f(A)) \subseteq \text{scl}(f(\text{cl}(A))) = f(\text{cl}(A)). \text{ Since } \text{cl}(A) \text{ is an } FI\text{IROS}, \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{cl}(A))) = \text{cl}(A). \text{ This implies } \text{scl}(f(A)) = f(\text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{cl}(A)))) \subseteq f(A \cup \text{int}(\text{cl}(A))) = f(\text{scl}(A)). \text{ Hence } \text{scl}(f(A)) \subseteq f(\text{scl}(A)). \quad (2) \Rightarrow (1) \text{ Let } A \text{ be an } FI\text{RCS} \text{ in } X. \text{ Then } A = \text{cl}(\text{int}(A)).$$

$$\text{Therefore } A \text{ is an } FI\text{SCS} \text{ in } X. \text{ By hypothesis, } \text{scl}(f(A)) \subseteq f(\text{scl}(A)) \subseteq f(\text{cl}(A)) = f(A)$$

$$\subseteq \text{scl}(f(A)). \text{ That is } \text{scl}(f(A)) = f(A). \text{ Hence } f(A) \text{ is an } FI\pi\text{CS} \text{ and hence is an } FI\pi\text{GSCS} \text{ in } Y. \text{ Thus } f \text{ is an } FI\pi\text{GSCM}.$$

Theorem 3.12 Let $f : (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I)$ be mapping from an $FI\text{ITS}$ X into an $FI\text{ITS}$ Y .

Then the following conditions are equivalent if Y is an $FI\pi T_{1\setminus 2}$ space.

(1) f is an $FI\pi\text{GSCM}$

(2) $f(A) \subseteq \pi\text{int}(f(\text{scl}(A)))$ for every $FI\text{POS}$ A in X .

proof: (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let A be an IFIPOS in X . Then $A \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(A))$. Since $\text{int}(\text{cl}(A))$ is an IFIROS

in X . by hypothesis, $f(\text{int}(\text{cl}(A)))$ is an IFI π GSOS in Y . Since Y is an IFI $\pi T_{1/2}$ space, $f(\text{int}(\text{cl}(A)))$

is an IFI π OS in Y . Therefore $f(A) \subseteq f(\text{int}(\text{cl}(A))) \subseteq \pi \text{int}(f(\text{int}(\text{cl}(A)))) \subseteq \pi \text{int}$

$(f(A \cup \text{int}(\text{cl}(A)))) = \pi \text{int}(f(\text{scl}((A))))$. That is $f(A) \subseteq \pi \text{int}(f(\text{scl}(A)))$. (2)
 \Rightarrow (1) Let A

be an IFIROS in X . Then A is an IFIPOS in X . By hypothesis, $f(A) \subseteq \pi \text{int}(f(\text{scl}(A)))$. This implies

$f(A) \subseteq \pi \text{int}(f(A \cup \text{int}(\text{cl}(A)))) \subseteq \pi \text{int}(f(A \cup A)) \subseteq \pi \text{int}(f(A)) \subseteq f(A)$. Therefore $f(A)$ is an

IFI π OS in Y and hence an IFI π GOS in Y . Thus f is an IFI π GS closed mapping.

Theorem 3.13 Let $f : (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I)$ be a mapping from an IFITS X into an IFITS Y .

Then the following conditions are equivalent if Y is an IFI $\pi T_{1/2}$ space.

(1) f is an IFI π GSCM

(2) If B is an IFIROS in X then $f(B)$ is an IFI π GSOS in Y

(3) $f(B) \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(f(B)))$ for every IFIROS in X .

Proof (1) \Rightarrow (2) obviously.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Let B be any IFIROS in X . Then by hypothesis $f(B)$ is an IFI π GSOS in Y . Since Y is an

IFI $\pi T_{1/2}$ space, $f(B)$ is an IFIOS in Y (Result 2.23). Therefore $f(B) = \text{int}(f(B)) \subseteq \text{int}$

$(\text{cl}(f(B)))$. (3)

\Rightarrow (1) Let B be an IFIRCS in X . Then its complement B^c is an IFIROS in X .

By hypothesis $f(B^c)$

$\subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(f(B^c)))$. Hence $f(B^c)$ is an IFI π OS in Y . Since every IFI π OS

is an IFI π GSOS, $f(B^c)$ is an IFI π GSOS in Y . Therefore $f(B)$ is an IFI π GSCS in Y . Hence f is an IFI π GSCM.

Theorem 3.13 Let $f : (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I)$ be a mapping from an IFITS X into an IFITS Y .

Then the following conditions are equivalent if Y is an IFI $\pi T_{1/2}$ space.

(1) f is an IFI π GSCM.

(2) $\text{int}(\text{cl}(f(A))) \subseteq f(A)$ for every IFIRCS A in X .

Proof (1)

\Rightarrow (2) Let A be an IFIRCS in X . By hypothesis, $f(A)$ is an IF π GSCS in Y . Since Y

is an IF $\pi T_{1/2}$, $f(A)$ is an IFICS in Y (Result 2.23). Therefore $cl(f(A)) = f(A)$. Now int

$(cl(f(A))) \subseteq cl(f(A)) \subseteq f(A)$. (2)

\Rightarrow (1) Let A be an IFIRCS in X . By hypothesis $int(cl(f(A)))$

$\subseteq f(A)$. This implies $f(A)$ is an IF π CS in Y and hence $f(A)$ is an IF π GSCS in Y . Therefore

f is an IFIA π GSCM.

Theorem 3.14 Let $f: (X, \tau, I)$

$\rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I)$ be an IFIA closed mapping and $g: (Y, \sigma, I) \rightarrow$

(Z, δ, I) is IFIA π GS closed mapping, then $g \circ f: (X, \tau, I)$

$\rightarrow (Z, \delta, I)$ is an IFIA closed mapping

. if Z is an IF $\pi T_{1/2}$ space.

proof : Let A be an IFIRCS in X . Then $f(A)$ is an IFICS in Y . Since g is an IF π GS closed mapping, $g(f(A))$ is an IF π GSCS in Z . Therefore $g(f(A))$ is an IFICS in Z , by hypothesis.

Hence $g \circ f$ is an IFIA closed mapping.

Theorem 3.15 Let $f: (X, \tau, I)$

$\rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I)$ be an IFIA closed mapping and $g: (Y, \sigma, I) \rightarrow (Z, \zeta, I)$

is IF π GS closed mapping, then $g \circ f: (X, \tau, I)$

$\rightarrow (Z, \zeta, I)$ is an IFIA π GS closed mapping.

proof : Let A be an IFIRCS in X . Then $f(A)$ is an IFICS in Y , by hypothesis. Since g is an

IF π GS closed mapping, $g(f(A))$ is an IF π GSCS in Z . Therefore $g(f(A))$ is an IF π GSCS

in Z . Hence $g \circ f$ is an IFIA π GS closed mapping.

Theorem 3.16 If $f: (X, \tau, I)$

$\rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I)$ is an IFIA π GS closed mapping and Y is an IF $\pi T_{1/2}$

space, then $f(A)$ is an IFIGCS in Y for every IFIRCSA in X .

Proof: Let $f: (X, \tau, I)$

$\rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I)$ be a mapping and let A be an IFIRCS in X . Then by

hypothesis $f(A)$ is an IF π GSCS in Y . Since Y is an IF $\pi T_{1/2}$ space, $f(A)$ is an IFIGCS in Y .

Theorem 3.17 Let $c(\alpha, \beta)$ be an IFIP in X . A mapping $f: X$

$\rightarrow Y$ is an IFIA π GSOM if for

every IFIOS A in X with $f^{-1}(c(\alpha, \beta))$

$\in A$, there exists an IFIOS B in Y with $c(\alpha, \beta) \in B$ such

$f(A)$ is IFID in B .

Proof: Let A be an IFIROS in X . Then A is an IFIOS in X . Let $f^{-1}(c(\alpha, \beta)) \in A$, then there

exists an IFIOS B in Y such that $c(\alpha, \beta) \in B$ and $cl(f(A)) = B$. Since B is an IFIOS, $cl(f(A))$

$= B$ is also an IFIOS in Y . Therefore $int(cl(f(A))) = cl(f(A))$. Now $f(A) \subseteq cl(f(A)) = int$

$(cl(f(A))) \subseteq cl(int(int(cl(f(A))))$
 $= cl(int(cl(f(A))))$. Thus f is an IFIA π GSOM.

Theorem 3.18 Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a bijective mapping. Then the following are equivalent.

(1) f is IFIA π GSOM

(2) f is an IFIA π GSCM

(3) f^{-1} is an IFIA π GS continuous mapping

proof (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) is obvious from the theorem 3.7.

(2) \Leftrightarrow (3) Let A

$\subseteq X$ be an IFIRCS. Then by hypothesis, $f(A)$ is an IFI π GSCS in Y . That is

$(f^{-1})^{-1}(A)$ is an IFI π GSCS in Y . This implies f^{-1} is an IFIA π GS continuous mapping.

(3) \rightarrow (2) Let A

$\subseteq X$ be an IFIRCS. Then by hypothesis $(f^{-1})^{-1}(A)$ is an IFI π GSCS in Y .

That is $f(A)$ is an IFI π GSCS in Y . Hence f is an IFIA π GSCM.

Theorem 3.19 Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping. If $f(sint(B)) \subseteq sint(f(B))$ for every IFIS B in X ,

then f is an IFIA π GSIM.

proof : Let $B \subseteq X$ be an IFIROS. By hypothesis, $f(sint(B)) \subseteq sint(f(B))$. Since B is an

IFIROS, it is an IFISPOS in X . Therefore $sint(B) = B$. Hence $f(B) = f(sint(B)) \subseteq sint$

$(f(B)) \subseteq f(B)$. This implies $f(B)$ is an IFISOS and hence an IFI π GSOS in Y . Thus f is an IFIA π GSOM.

Theorem 3.20 Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping. If $scl(f(B)) \subseteq f(scl(B))$ for every IFIS B in X ,

then f is an IFIA π GSCM.

Proof: Let $B \subseteq X$ be an IFIRCS. By hypothesis, $scl(f(B)) \subseteq f(scl(B))$. Since B is an IFIRCS,

it is an IFISCS in X . Therefore $scl(B) = B$. Hence $f(B) = f(scl(B))$
 $\supseteq scl(f(B)) \supseteq f(B)$.

This implies $f(B)$ is an IFISCS and hence an $IF\pi GSCS$ in Y . Thus f is an $IFIA\pi GSCM$.

Theorem 3.21 Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping where Y is an $IF\pi T_{1/2}$ space. If f is an $IFIA\pi GSCM$, then $f(sint(B)) \subseteq cl(ont(cl(f(B))))$ for every $IFIROS$ B in X .

Proof: This theorem can be easily proved by taking complement in theorem 3.19.

Theorem 3.22 Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an $IFIA\pi GSOM$, where Y is an $IF\pi T_{1/2}$ space. Then for each $IFIP$ $c(\alpha, \beta)$ in Y and each $IFIROS$ B in X such that $f^{-1}(c(\alpha, \beta)) \in B$, $cl(f(cl(B)))$ is an $IFISN$ of $c(\alpha, \beta)$ in Y .

Proof: Let $c(\alpha, \beta) \in Y$ and let B be an $IFIROS$ in X such that $f^{-1}(c(\alpha, \beta)) \in B$. That is $c(\alpha, \beta) \in f(B)$.

By hypothesis, $f(B)$ is an $IF\pi GSOS$ in Y . Since Y is an $IF\pi T_{1/2}$ space, $f(B)$ is an $IFIS$

OS in Y . Now $c(\alpha, \beta) \in f(B) \subseteq f(cl(B)) \subseteq cl(f(cl(B)))$. Hence $cl(f(cl(B)))$ is an $IFISN$ of $c(\alpha, \beta)$ in Y .

Remark 3.23 If an $IFIS$ A in an $IFITS$ (X, τ) is an $IF\pi GSCS$ in X , then $\pi gscl(A) = A$. But the converse may not be true in general, since the intersection does not exist in $IF\pi GSCSs$.

Remarek 3.24 If an $IFIS$ A in an $IFITS$ (X, τ) is an $IF\pi GSOS$ in X , then $\pi gsint(A) = A$. But the converse may not be true in general, since the union does not exist in $IF\pi GSOSs$.

Theorem 3.25 Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping. If f is an $IFIA\pi GSCM$, then $\pi gscl(f(A)) \subseteq f(cl(A))$ for every $IFISOS$ A in X .

Proof : Let A be an $IFISOS$ in X . Then $cl(A)$ is an $IFIRCS$ in X . By hypothesis $f(cl(A))$ is an

$IF\pi GSCS$ in Y . Then $\pi gscl(f(cl(A))) = f(cl(A))$. Now $\pi gscl(f(A)) \subseteq \pi gscl(f(cl(A))) =$

$f(cl(A))$. That is $\pi gscl(f(A)) \subseteq f(cl(A))$.

Corollary 3.26 Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping. If f is an $IFIA\pi GSCM$, then $\pi gscl(f(A)) \subseteq f(cl(A))$ for every $IFIGSOS$ A in X .

Proof : Since every IFISOS is an IFISOS is an IFIGSOS, the proof is obvious from the

Theorem 3.25 .

REFERENCES

- [1] K.Atanassov,Intuitionistic fuzzy sets,Fuzzy Sets and Systems,20(1986),87-96 .
- [2] B.Krsteska and E.Ekici,Intuitionistic fuzzy contra strong ore continuity, Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics,21(2)(2007),273-284.
- [3] C.Chang,Fuzzy topological spaces,J.Math.Appl.,24(1968),182-190.
- [4] D.Coker,An introduction to fuzzy topological space,Fuzzy Sets and Systems,88(1997),81-89.
- [5] Coker and M.Demirci,On intuitionistic fuzzy points,Notes on IFS,(1995),79-84.
- [6] T.R,Hamlett and D.Jankovic,Ideals in topological space,Int.J.contemp.Math.Sciences, Vol.(7),4-B(1990),864-874.
- [7] A.A.Salama and AL.Blowi,"intuiiionistic fuzzy ideal topological space",Journal advances in fuzzy mathematics(AFM).VOLUME 7no .1(2012),pp.51-60.
- [8] Y.MSaber and M.A.Abdel-sattar "Ideal On Fuzzy Topological Space" Applied Mathematical Sciences,Vol.8,(2014),no.34,1667-1691.
- [9] M.E.El-Shafhi and A.Zhakari,Semi generalized continuous mappings in fuzzy topological spaces ,J.Egypt.Math.soc.,15(1)(2007),57-67.
- [10]H.Gurcay,D.Coker and A.Haydar,ON fuzzy continuity in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces,Jour.of Fuzzy Math.,5(1997),365-378.
- [11]I.M.Hanafy,Intuitionistic fuzzy γ continuity,Canad.Math Bull.XX(2009),1-11.
- [12]J.K.Jeon,Y.B.Jun and J.H.Park,Intuitionistic fuzzy alpha continuity and intuitionistic
- [13]fuzzy pre continuity,Intuitionistic Journal of Mathematics and mathematical Sciences,19 (2005),3091-3101.
- [14] S.Maragathavalli and K.Ramesh,Intuitionistic fuzzy π generalized semi sets,Advances in Theoretical and Applied Sciences,1(2012),33-42.
- [15]S.Maragathavallia and K.Ramesh,Intuitionistic fuzzy π generalized semi irresolute mappings,International of Mathematical Archive,3(2012),1-7.
- [16]S .Maragathavallia and K.Ramesh,Intuitionistic fuzzy π generalized semi continuous mappings,International Journal of Computer Applications,37(2012),30-34.
- [17] S .Maragathavallia and K.Ramesh,Intuitionistic fuzzy completely π generalized semi continuous mappings ,(Submitted).
- [18]S.Maragathavallia and K.Ramesh,On almost generalized semi continuous mappings in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces,Mathematical Theory and Modeling,2(2012),18-28 .
- [19] S .Maragathavallia and K.Ramesh, π generalized semi closed mappings mappings,in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces,Journal of Advanced Studies in Topology3(2012),111-118.
- [20]L.A.Zadeh,Fuzzy sets,Inrormation Control,8(1965),338-353.